

**To:** Housenger, Jack[Housenger.Jack@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Vogel, Dana[Vogel.Dana@epa.gov]; Rowland, Jess[Rowland.Jess@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael[Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]; Anderson, Neil[Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]  
**From:** Keigwin, Richard  
**Sent:** Thur 8/27/2015 7:56:49 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

My understanding is that they would still be required to list under Prop. 65 because of the IARC conclusion. DPR is expecting litigation to be filed.

**From:** Housenger, Jack  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:54 PM  
**To:** Keigwin, Richard  
**Cc:** Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess; Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil  
**Subject:** RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

Do we know how OEHHHA would consider our assessment that takes into account the IARC but reached a different conclusion?

**From:** Housenger, Justin  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:33 PM  
**To:** Housenger, Jack  
**Subject:** FW: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

For you I think

**From:** Keigwin, Richard  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:32 PM  
**To:** Housenger, Justin; Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess  
**Cc:** Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil  
**Subject:** Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

I just got off the phone with George Farnsworth and Marylou Verder-Carlos. Next week, probably on September 4<sup>th</sup>, California will be proposing to list glyphosate as a carcinogen, under

Prop. 65. This is what is called a proposed ministerial listing. Under Prop. 65, as I understand it, if IARC determines that a chemical is a carcinogen, OEHHA is required to list the chemical under Prop. 65.